Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

RFMS will process origination records within 24 to 36 hours of submission by school.

Decompo	sition of Sub-Process:	1. Receive SAR/	ISIR					
Core Bus	iness Process:	Student Aid Origi	nation and	Disburse	ement			
	Scenario Section			Business Priority Section			Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	Priority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
CPS, MDE, ED Express, school system, 3 rd party servicer, TIVWAN	SAR/ISIR report.	A school that cannot receive a SAR/ISIR report may be unable to determine whether a student is eligible for financial aid.	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med.	To mitigate the risk that a school will be unable to determine a student's eligibility because it cannot receive a SAR/ISIR report: ED would develop alternative procedures for creating a SAR/ISIR report. Schools would create forms, templates, and procedures manuals to support manual determination of student eligibility. (i.e. EFC)	In the event there is a failure in a school's ability receive a SAR/ISIR report: • ED would permit schools to calculate a student's EFC and other SAR information using regulatory formulas. • Schools would use software provided by ED to perform the EFC calculation.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

RFMS will process origination records within 24 to 36 hours of submission by school.

Decomp	osition of Sub-Process:	2. Package stud	ent aid					
Business	Sub Process:	Pell Origination						
	Scenario Section			Business Priority Section			Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure	Bu Impact	siness Prio	ority Priority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
			Date	Rating	Rating	Rating		•
ED Express, School Systems, 3 rd party servicer	A failure in ED Express, in school systems or in the systems of a third party servicer would impair a school's ability to package student aid.	In the event there is a failure in ED Express, in school systems or in the systems of a third party servicer: • Award amounts cannot be calculated for individual students • A student's eligibility for a specific program cannot be determined • A school would be unable to determine funding needs	1/3/2000	High	Unde- termin ed	High	To mitigate the risk that a school would be unable to package aid due to a failure in ED Express, in school systems or a third party system a school would: Outsource the financial aid function to 3 rd party servicer Negotiate an agreement with a 3 rd party servicer to assume this responsibility in the event of a system failure.	In the event of a failure in ED Express, in school systems or in third party software a school would: Revert to manual processing, hire temporary staff, and use templates, forms and detailed procedures manuals for school's to use.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

RFMS will process origination records within 24 to 36 hours of submission by school.

Decomp	osition of Sub-Process:	3. School perfo	rms requir	ed verific	cation			
Business	s Sub Process:	Pell Origination						
	Scena	ario Section		Busine	ss Priorit	y Section	Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	ority Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
ED express, School Systems, 3 rd party servicer	A failure in ED Express, in school systems or in third party software may prevent a school from being able to perform the required verification in the normal manner	In the case of a failure in ED Express, in school systems or in third party software a school's ability to complete the aid awarding process may be adversely affected because it is unable to complete the required verification process.	1/3/2000	High	Undet ermine d	High	To mitigate the risk that a school would be unable to complete the aid awarding process ED would: • Waive the verification requirement in anticipation of Y2K failures A school could: • Outsource the verification process to a 3 rd party servicer. • Negotiate agreements with 3rd party servicers to assume this function in the event of a system failure.	In the event there is a failure in a school's ability to perform the required verifications in the normal manner a school would: Revert to manual processing, hire temporary staff, and use templates, forms and detailed procedure manuals ED would waive verification requirements temporarily and require schools to perform updates later.

Core Business Process II - Student Aid Origination and Disbursement Process Risk Mitigation and Contingency Options Matrix Pell Disbursement Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomposi	tion of Sub-Process:	end Pell gra	nt origina	ation reco	rds			
Business S	Business Sub Process: Pell Disbursements							
Scenario Section				Busine	ss Priorit	y Section	Possible (Options
Failure	Threat/Failure	Impact/End Result	Earliest	Bu	siness Prio	ority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency
Source	Scenario		Failure	Impact	Risk	Priority		Options
			Date	Rating	Rating	Rating		
School	Schools cannot send	Schools would not be	1/3/2000	High	Undet	High	None	Schools can call the toll free 1-
System, 3 rd	origination records to	aware of their funding			ermine			8004PGRANT customer
party	RFMS or receive	levels. As a result some			d			service number and fax the
servicer,	confirmation that the	fiscal officers may be						school the authorization
ED express	transactions they sent	unwilling to draw down						information on ED letterhead
or RFMS	were processed. As a	funds based without ED						
	result ED cannot	documentation in writing						
	provide a school with							
	Pell funding							
	information							

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decompos	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 5. ED calculates authorization amounts								
Business S	Sub Process:	Pell Disbursement	ts						
	Scenario Section				ss Priorit	y Section	Possible Options		
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pri Risk Rating	ority Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options	
RFMS	ED cannot provide school's with an increase in Pell funding to pay eligible students or Provide a Statement of Account	A school would be unable to draw down increased funds from GAPS based on student level data and ED would not be certain of a school's funding needs	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med.	To mitigate the risk that ED could not provide a school with an increase in Pell grant funding ED would: • permit all schools to draw down funds prior to 1/1/2000 • process an increase in authorization levels for schools on December 15, 1999 based on ED analysis	In the event that a failure in RFMS prevents ED from increasing a schools Pell authorization ED would: • manually increase school's authorization amounts as required	

Core Business Process II - Student Aid Origination and Disbursement Process Risk Mitigation and Contingency Options Matrix Pell Disbursement Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decompo	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 6. Process disbursement records								
Business	Business Sub Process: Pell Disbursements								
Scenario Section			Busine	ss Priorit	y Section	Possible	Options		
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Business Priority Impact Risk Priority Rating Rating Rating		Priority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options	
RFMS	ED cannot provide a school with an increase in Pell funding based on student level data	A school would be unable to draw down funds from GAPS based on revised student level data	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med.	None	In the event that a failure in RFMS prevents ED from processing disbursement records, ED would: • process authorization increases manually and restore disbursement records to RFMS system when functionality is restored.	

Core Business Process II - Student Aid Origination and Disbursement Process Risk Mitigation and Contingency Options Matrix Pell Disbursement Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decompos	ition of Sub-Process:	7. Analyze and	send autho	rization to	GAPS			
Business S	Sub Process:	Pell Disbursemen	ts					
	Scenario Section				ss Priorit	y Section	Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	ority Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
RFMS	ED cannot provide a school with an increase in Pell funding to pay eligible students or Provide Statement of Account	A school is unable to draw down increased funds from GAPS based on revised student level data and ED cannot be certain of funding needs of school.	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med.	None	In the event there is a failure in RFMS that prevents RFMS from updating GAPS regarding a school's funding information ED would convert files to CD-ROM or magnetic tape and deliver to GAPS office in FOB#6

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	osition of Sub-Process:	8. Update State	ment of Ac	count				
Business	Sub Process::	Pell Disbursemen	its					
	Scena	ario Section		Business Priority Section			Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	•		Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
RFMS	A failure in RFMS prevents ED from producing an updated Statement of Account	Some Fiscal Officers may be unwilling to draw down funds without this documentation	1/3/2000	High	Rating Low	Med.	None	In there is a failure in RFMS and ED is unable to create an updated Statement of Account ED would: • process information manually and restore to RFMS system when functionality is restored. • request that RFMS customer service FAX updated Statement of Account information on ED letter head to school.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	osition of Sub-Process:	9. Draw down F	Pell Grant	funds					
Busines	s Sub Process:	Pell Disbursemen	its						
	Scenario Section			Business Priority Section			Possible (Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	Priority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options	
School System, 3 rd party servicer	Schools cannot receive confirmation that the transactions they sent were processed or ED cannot provide a school with Pell funding information	A school may not be aware of its funding levels. Some fiscal officers may be unwilling to draw down funds without ED documentation. Schools may be unable to receive funds from GAPS	1/3/2000	High	Unde- termin ed	High	To mitigate the risk that a school may be unable to draw down federal funds a school would: Renovate school systems and 3 rd part servicer systems for Y2K compliance. To mitigate the risk that a school may be unable to draw down federal funds ED would Establish a process for requesting draw downs from GAPS (i.e. telephone web interface)	In the event there is a failure in school systems or third party systems, schools would make a draw down request via telephone or through the internet interface.	

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	osition of Sub-Process:	10. Send disburse	ement reco	rds				
Business	s Sub Process:	Pell Disbursemen	ts					
	Scenario Section			Business Priority Section			Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	ority Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
RFMS	ED cannot calculate or edit student records, nor base authorizations on them	Disbursements are not paid to schools based on student records and ED cannot be certain of the funding needs of school.	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med.	None	In the event that there is a failure in RFMS that prevents a school from sending disbursement records ED would: • Process authorization increases manually • Have RFMS customer service send back a disbursement acknowledgement on ED letterhead to school.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decompos	ecomposition of Sub-Process: 1. Schools prepare and send FISAP to ED									
Business	Sub Process:	Campus-based Pr	ograms							
	Scenario Section					y Section	Possible Options			
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	1		Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options		
Campus- based system	A school is unable to return the electronic FISAP to ED for processing	A school would not be able to report previous year activity or apply for campus-based funds in the next award year.	10/1/99	Low	Undeter mined	Low	To mitigate the risk that a school would be unable to send its FISAP report to ED due to a Y2K failure a school would prepare and submit the FISAP report early.	In the event that there is a failure in ED's ability to receive and process electronic, FISAP applications ED would accept paper FISAP applications.		

Core Business Process II - Student Aid Origination and Disbursement Process Risk Mitigation and Contingency Options Matrix Campus-based Programs Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decompos	sition of Sub-Process:	2. ED calculate	s awards a	nd return	s data to	schools for	verification/correction	
Business	Sub Process:	Campus-based Pr	ograms					
	Scenario Section				ss Priorit	y Section	Possible Options	
Failure	Threat/Failure	Impact/End Result	Earliest	Bu	siness Pri	ority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency
Source	Scenario		Failure	Impact	Impact Risk Priority			Options
			Date	Rating	Rating	Rating		
Campus-	ED is unable to	A school may not be able	12/15/99	Low	Low	Low	To mitigate the risk that ED	In the event that a failure in the
based	process a school's	to submit corrections to					would be unable calculate an	Campus-based system prevents
system	FISAP report and	resolve a discrepancy in					initial award and return FISAP	ED from calculating an initial
	send a copy of	information provided to					information to schools ED would	award and returning FISAP
	FISAP information	ED					process FISAP applications early.	information to schools ED
	back to the school							would return paper copies of
	for verification							FISAP reports to schools via
								fax.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decompo	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 3. Schools return edits to ED									
Business	Sub Process:	Campus-based Pr	rograms							
	Scena	ario Section		Busine	ss Priorit	y Section	Possible (Options		
Failure Source					siness Prio Risk	Priority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options		
			Date	Rating	Rating	Rating				
School systems, postal service, internet, Campus- based system	A school is unable to return or ED is unable to receive corrections to a school's FISAP report	A school may not receive the correct Campus-based award because the information provided to ED was not accurate.	1/3/2000	Low	Undeter mined	Low	To mitigate the risk that a school cannot return edits to ED, ED would suspend the second round of edits.	In the event that a school cannot send or ED cannot receive a second round of edits to a school's FISAP report, ED would use the school's initial award as the final award and would not accept additional edits.		

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomposit	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 4. ED calculates final awards based on edits									
Business Su	Business Sub Process: Campus-based Programs									
	Scenario Section				ss Priorit	y Section	Possible Options			
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options		
TIVWAN, Campus Based System	Tentative awards and final awards for 2000-2001 cannot be calculated Edit II files would not be able to be generated to send to schools Accounting files would not be able to be generated for 1999-2000 hold releases for 2000-2001 awards	Schools won't know their 2001 funding levels and may not be able to plan grants, loans or work study programs Schools on hold will not get their 1999-2000 funding after being released from hold in timely fashion Second edits would not be performed	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med.	To mitigate the risk that ED is unable to calculate final awards ED would: Notify schools that their second round of edits will not take place (performing an Edit II is not mandated by Congress). ED would develop a spreadsheet program on a PC to calculate and print final award amounts	In the event that a failure in ED systems prevents ED from calculating a final award based on the school's edits ED would: • Manually process 1999-2000 hold releases and send an accounting file to GAPS • Calculate tentative awards before 1/1/2000 and use as final awards • Schools could rely on previous year funding levels for planning purposes when offering financial aid		

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decompo	sition of Sub-Process:	1. Receive SAR/	ISIR					
Core Bus	iness Process:	Direct Loan Orig	Direct Loan Origination					
	Scenario Section					y Section	Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	Priority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
CPS, MDE, ED Express, school system, 3 rd party servicer, TIVWAN	SAR/ISIR report.	A school that cannot receive a SAR/ISIR report may be unable to determine whether a student is eligible for financial aid.	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	To mitigate the risk that a school will be unable to determine a student's eligibility because it cannot receive a SAR/ISIR report: ED would develop alternative procedures for creating a SAR/ISIR report. Schools would create forms, templates, and procedures manuals to support manual determination of student eligibility (i.e. EFC).	In the event there is a failure in a school's ability to receive a SAR/ISIR report: ED would permit schools to calculate a student's EFC and other SAR information using regulatory formulas. Schools would use software provided by ED to perform the EFC calculation.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	osition of Sub-Process:	2. Package stud	ent aid					
Business	Sub Process:	Direct Loan Orig	ination					
	Scenario Section				ss Priorit	y Section	Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	ority Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
ED Express, School Systems, 3 rd party servicer	A failure in ED Express, in a school's system or in the systems of a third party servicer would impair a school's ability to package student aid.	In the event there is a failure in ED Express, in a school's system or in the systems of a third party servicer: • Award amounts cannot be calculated for individual students • A student's eligibility for a specific program cannot be determined • A school would be unable to determine funding needs	1/3/2000	High	Undet	High	To mitigate the risk that a school would be unable to package aid due to a failure in ED Express, in school systems or a third party system a school would: • Outsource the financial aid function to a 3 rd party servicer • Negotiate an agreement with a 3 rd party servicer to assume this responsibility in the event of a system failure.	In the event of a failure in ED Express, in a school's system or in third party software a school would: Revert to manual processing, hire temporary staff, and use templates, forms and detailed procedure manuals.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	osition of Sub-Process:	3. School perfo	rms requir	ed verific	ation			
Business	Sub Process:	Direct Loan Orig	ination					
		Business Priority Section			Possible Options			
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	ority Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
ED Express, School Systems, 3 rd party servicer	A failure in ED Express, in school systems or in third party software may prevent a school from being able to perform the required verification in the normal manner	In the case of a failure in ED Express, in school systems or in third party software, a school's ability to complete the aid awarding process may be adversely affected because it is unable to complete the required verification process.	1/3/2000	High	Undet	High	To mitigate the risk that a school would be unable to complete the aid awarding process ED would: • Waive the verification requirement in anticipation of Y2K failures A school could: • Outsource the verification process to a 3 rd party servicer. • Negotiate agreements with 3rd party servicers to assume this function in the event of a system failure.	In the event there is a failure in a school's ability to perform the required verifications in the normal manner a school would: Revert to manual processing, hire temporary staff, and use templates, forms and detailed procedure manuals ED would waive verification requirements temporarily and require schools perform updates later.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 4. Create and send origination records									
_	s Sub Process:	Direct Loan Orig	, i							
	Scena	ario Section		Business Priority Section			Possible Options			
Failure Source School systems, 3 rd party servicer systems, ED Express	Threat/Failure Scenario A school is unable to create or send an origination record.	Impact/End Result Promissory notes cannot be produced by ED for some Option 1 and all Standard Option schools. The LOC is unable to create the anticipated 1 disbursement listing and the anticipated disbursement roster ED is unable to create origination acknowledgements A Direct Loan would not be recorded in LO system until promissory note is received Draw-downs for Option 1 and Standard Option schools would be stopped	Earliest Failure Date 1/3/2000		ssiness Prio Risk Rating Undet		Risk Mitigation Options To mitigate the risk that a school cannot create and send origination records a school would: Obtain most current Y2K compliant version of ED supplied software Consider outsourcing the financial aid function to a 3rd party servicer Negotiate an agreement with 3rd party servicers to assume this function in the event of a system failure Renovate school systems for Y2K compliance	High-Level Contingency Options In the event that a school cannot create and send an origination record ED would: • Permit Option 1 and Standard Option schools to request funding without requiring an origination record. (A promissory note will be required.) • Permit schools to send origination records later when system functionality returns		

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	osition of Sub-Process:	5. Create promi	ssory note					
Busines	s Sub Process:	Direct Loan Orig	ination					
	Scena	ario Section		Business Priority Section			Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario A school or ED is	Impact/End Result ED would not be able	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating High	siness Price Risk Rating Low	Priority Rating Med	Risk Mitigation Options To mitigate the risk that ED or a	High-Level Contingency Options In the event that ED or a school
systems, 3 rd party servicer systems, ED Express, LO	unable to create promissory note.	to record the existence of a loan A student would not be able to obtain a loan					school cannot create a promissory note ED or a Direct Loan school would: • Obtain most current Y2K compliant version of ED supplied software • Outsource financial aid function to 3rd party servicer • Negotiate agreements with 3rd party servicers to assume function in the event of a system failure • Renovate school systems for Y2K compliance • Develop procedures for alternate preparation of promissory notes • Create preprinted promissory notes for manual preparation	are unable to print a promissory note ED would:Manually prepare promissory notes using preprinted forms.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decompositi	ion of Sub-Process:	6. Process prom	issory note	;				
Business Su	b Process:	Direct Loan Origi	nation					
	Scenar	rio Section	Business Priority Section			y Section	Possible Options	
Failure	Threat/Failure	Impact/End Result	Earliest	Bu	siness Pri	ority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency
Source	Scenario		Failure	Impact	Risk	Priority		Options
			Date	Rating	Rating	Rating		
School systems, 3 rd party services systems, ED Express, LO	ED or a Direct Loan school is unable to process a promissory note	A DL school cannot apply required edits including recheck of eligibility and award adjustment ED cannot establish the existence of a loan ED is unable to perform financial management functions Student is unable to obtain a loan	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	None	In the event that ED or a Direct Loan school is unable to process a promissory note ED or the school would: • Manually process promissory notes • Store promissory notes for later processing when systems functionality returns

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decompositi	ecomposition of Sub-Process: 7. Create Manifest and send with Prom Notes to ED								
Business Sul	Business Sub Process: Direct Loan Origination								
	Scenario Section				Business Priority Section			Possible Options	
Failure	Failure Threat/Failure Impact/End Result Earliest			Bu	siness Pric	ority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency	
Source	Scenario			Failure	Impact	Risk	Priority		Options
				Date	Rating	Rating	Rating		
Postal and	Direct Loan	•	Promissory notes may	1/3/2000	Undet	Undet	Undet	None	In the event that a Direct Loan
telecom	school is unable		not be properly						school cannot create and send
infrastructure	to create an		recorded and tracked						an electronic manifest the
, school	electronic	•	Batch information not						Direct Loan school would
system, 3 rd	manifest		available in electronic						manually create manifest to
party services			form						properly identify and track
									batch contents.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 1. Analyze funding								
Business	s Sub Process:	Direct Loan Disbu	ırsement						
	Scenario Section			Business Priority Section			Possible Options		
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	ority Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options	
LO or School Systems	A Direct Loan school is unable to analyze its immediate funding needs. (This affects Option 2 schools only.)	The school may beunable to determine the proper draw-down amount or may request an amount in excess of immediate funding needs.	1/3/2000	High	Undet	High	To mitigate the risk that a school cannot determine its immediate funding needs a school would: Renovate school systems for Y2K compliance Develop procedures for alternate determination of funding requirements Analyze funding needs in advance of critical Y2K dates and basedraw-down request on historical activity.	In the event that a school cannot determine its immediate funding needs ED would estimate a school's funding needs based on historical activity.	

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	osition of Sub-Process:	2. Draw-down f	funds					
Business	Sub Process:	Direct Loan Dish	oursement					
	Scenario Section					y Section	Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Impact Rating	siness Prio Risk Rating	Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
LO, GAPS, School Systems ED Express	An Option 2 Direct Loan school is unable to draw-down funds.	The school would not be able to draw down funds for disbursement to students.	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	To mitigate the risk that a school cannot draw down funds a school would: Renovate relevant school systems for Y2K compliance Establish a redundant process for requesting a draw-down from GAPS (ie telephone, use web interface) ED would allow all schools to draw down funds in 1999 for disbursements that the school intends to make in January and February	In the event that a school cannot request and receive a draw-down request in the normal manner the school would: Have ED draw funds on its behalf. Make a draw-down request via telephone, or internet whichever is effective If ED systems fail, ED OCFO can bypass GAPS system and request draw-down directly from U.S. Treasury.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 3. Disburse funds to students								
Business	s Sub Process:	Direct Loan Disb	ursement						
	Scena	ario Section		Business Priority Section			Possible (Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	ority Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options	
School Systems	A school is unable to disburse funds to students	School cannot disburse funds to eligible students. Students that rely on credit balances for living expenses would not be able to receive needed funds.	1/3/2000	Undet	Undet	Undet	To mitigate the risk that a school would be unable to disburse funds to students a school would: Renovate school systems for Y2K compliance Establish a redundant process for properly accounting for disbursements Credit a student's account in December 1999 for disbursements that are intended for January and February (2000).	In the event that a school is unable to disburse funds to students a school would: Revert to a manual process for identifying /disbursing funds Record disbursement data in machine readable format and store until systems functionality returns, then restore data to system.	

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	pecomposition of Sub-Process: 4. Return excess cash									
Business	Sub Process:	Direct Loan Disb	ursement							
Scenario Section					ss Priorit	y Section	Possible (Options		
Failure	Failure Threat/Failure Impact/End Result Earliest			Bu	siness Pri	ority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency		
Source	Scenario		Failure	Impact	Risk	Priority		Options		
			Date	Rating	Rating	Rating				
School Systems, GAPS	A direct loan school is unable to return excess cash	The inability to return excess cash is a regulatory violation	1/3/2000	High	Undet	High	To mitigate the risk that a school cannot return excess cash to ED a Direct Loan school would: Renovate school systems for Y2K compliance Establish a redundant process to proper account for disbursements, and to reconcile cash on hand.	In the event that a failure in a school's system prevented a school from returning excess cash in a timely manner, a school would use a manual process and mail a handwritten check to ED.		

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	osition of Sub-Process:	5. Report accou	nt disburse	ements				
_	Business Sub Process: Direct Loan Disbursemen							
Scenario Section				Business Priority Section			Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Bu Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	ority Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
ED Express LO School Systems	A direct loan school is unable to report account disbursements	Information would not be available to direct loan servicing in a timely manner ED would not be able to perform system balancing ED would not be able to perform financial management functions ED would be unable to return an acknowledgement to the school for reconciliation purposes	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	To mitigate the risk that a school cannot report account disbursements a Direct Loan school would: Renovate school systems for Y2K compliance Establish a redundant process to properly account for disbursements	In the event that a school cannot report account disbursements a Direct Loan school would capture data manually or in alternative systems and update ED core systems when functionality returns. In the event ED cannot receive and process account disbursement information. ED would provide information directly to Direct Loan Servicing via tape.

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 1. Create a PLUS promissory note									
Busines	s Sub Process:	Direct PLUS Loan	ı							
	Scena	ario Section		Business Priority Section			Possible Options			
Failure Source School systems, 3 rd party servicer	Threat/Failure Scenario A Direct Loan school or ED is unable to create PLUS promissory note.	ED would not be able to record the existence of a loan	Earliest Failure Date 1/3/2000	Bus Impact Rating High	siness Prio Risk Rating Undet	Priority Priority Rating High	Risk Mitigation Options To mitigate the risk that ED or a direct loan school cannot create a combined application and promissory note, a Direct Loan	High-Level Contingency Options In the event that ED or a Direct Loan school is unable to print a PLUS promissory note, ED, or the school, would manually		
systems, ED Express, LO	promissory note.	A parent borrower would not be able to obtain a loan					school would: Obtain most current Y2K compliant version of ED supplied software Outsource financial aid function to 3rd party servicer Negotiate agreements with 3rd party servicers to assume function in the event of a system failure Renovate school systems for Y2K compliance Develop procedures for alternate preparation of promissory notes And ED would: Create preprinted combined application and promissory notes for manual preparation.	prepare promissory notes using preprinted forms or a downloadable version available on the web.		

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomp	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 2. Create and send origination record									
Busines	s Sub Process:	Direct PLUS Loan	n							
	Scenario Section			Business Priority Section			Possible Options			
Failure Source School systems, 3 rd party servicer systems, ED	Threat/Failure Scenario A school is unable to create or send an origination record.	Impact/End Result Promissory notes cannot be produced by ED for some Option 1 and all Standard Option schools. The LOC is unable to	Earliest Failure Date 1/3/2000		ssiness Prio Risk Rating Low		Risk Mitigation Options To mitigate the risk that a school cannot create and send origination records a school would: Obtain most current Y2K compliant version of ED	High-Level Contingency Options In the event that a school cannot create and send an origination record ED would: • Permit Option 1 and Standard Option schools to request funding without		
Express		create the anticipated disbursement listing and the anticipated disbursement roster ED is unable to create origination acknowledgements A Direct Loan would not be recorded in LO system until promissory note is received Draw-downs for Option 1 and Standard Option schools would be stopped					supplied software Consider outsourcing the financial aid function to a 3rd party servicer Negotiate an agreement with 3rd party servicers to assume this function in the event of a system failure Renovate school systems for Y2K compliance	requiring an origination record. • Permit schools to send origination records later when system functionality returns		

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Decomposit	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 3. Process promissory n				2				
Business Su	Business Sub Process: Direct PLUS Loan								
	Scenario Section				Business Priority Section			Possible Options	
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario		Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Business Priority E Impact Risk Pri		ority Priority Rating	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options
School systems, 3 rd party services systems, ED Express, LO	ED or a Direct Loan school is unable to process a promissory note	•	A DL school cannot apply required edits including recheck of eligibility and award adjustment ED cannot establish the existence of a loan ED is unable to perform financial management functions Student is unable to obtain a loan	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	None	In the event that ED or a Direct Loan school is unable to process a promissory note ED or the school would: • Manually process promissory notes • Store promissory notes for later processing when systems functionality returns

Core Business Process II - Student Aid Origination and Disbursement Process Risk Mitigation and Contingency Options Matrix Direct PLUS Loan Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Business S	4. ED requests credit report Business Sub Process: Direct PLUS Loan										
	Scenari	Business Priority Section			y Section	Possible Options					
Failure Source	T			Bu Impact Rating		Priority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options			
LOS, Credit reporting agency systems	ED is unable to request or receive a credit report	ED cannot verify that borrower meets the minimum acceptable credit standards The absence of a credit report may cause a delay in processing student application	1/3/2000	Low	Low	Low	To mitigate the risk that ED cannot request and receive a credit report ED would establish agreements with multiple credit reporting service providers.	In the event that ED cannot request or receive a credit report ED would continue to process the Direct PLUS loan application assuming an acceptable credit history.			

Core Business Process II - Student Aid Origination and Disbursement Process Risk Mitigation and Contingency Options Matrix Direct Loan Consolidation Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Time from loan application to payoff of outstanding balances 60-90 days.

Decomposition	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 1. Applicant completes a					ed applica	tion and promissory note.				
Business Sub Pr	rocess:	Direct Loan Cons	Direct Loan Consolidation								
	Scenari	o Section	Business Priority Section			Possible Options					
Failure Source	ure Source Threat/Failure Impact/End Result Earliest Failure I			Business Priority Impact Risk Priority Rating Rating Rating		Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options				
Telecom- munications infrastructure or ED Loan Consolidation system	An infrastructure failure prevents applicant from transmitting completed application to ED or an ED core system failure prevents applicant from submitting an electronic application	A consolidation loan would not be created. The consolidation process would end.	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	To mitigate the risk that a borrower would not be able to complete and submit a combined application and promissory note ED would encourage borrowers to consolidate loans early or to delay consolidation until shortly after January 1, 2000.	In the event that a failure prevents borrowers from submitting, or ED from receiving, a combined application and promissory note, ED would revert to a manual process and accept paper applications through the mail			

Core Business Process II - Student Aid Origination and Disbursement Process Risk Mitigation and Contingency Options Matrix Direct Loan Consolidation Minimum Acceptable Level of Service:

Time from loan application to payoff of outstanding balances 60-90 days.

Decomposition of Sub-Process: 2. EDS, the direct loan consolidation contractor, receives, records, images and performs edits on the submitted applied								its on the submitted application		
Business Sub I	Process:	Direct Loan Conso	lidation							
Scenario Section					Business Priority Section			Possible Options		
Failure Source	Threat/Failure Scenario	Impact/End Result	Earliest Failure Date	Impact Rating	siness Pric Risk Rating	Priority		Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options	
ED Loan Consolidation System or, Imaging system	ED is unable to receive and process application or is unable to enter information into system, or is unable to electronically screen records; or, ED is unable to image documents	 A loan record cannot be created and the consolidation process ends. The required edit checks cannot be applied. The enforceability of a consolidation loan may be legally impaired if a physical document is lost or damaged and a digital image does not exist. 	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	•	To mitigate the risk that the Loan Consolidation system would be unable to receive or process consolidation loan applications ED would create and maintain a redundant processing capability. To mitigate the risk that the imaging system would be unable to create digital images of loan documents ED would, establish and maintain a redundant imaging system	In the event that a failure occurs in the Direct Consolidation loan core system ED would manually process consolidation loan applications and would apply manual edits. In the event that a failure occurs in the imaging system ED would store combined applications and promissory notes for later processing when systems functionality returns	

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service: Time from loan application to payoff of outstanding balances 60-90 days.

Decomposition	of Sub-Process:	3. Loan Consoli	dation Syste	m (LCS)	generate	es and send	ls an inquiry to the IRS	
Business Sub l	Process:	Direct Loan Conso	olidation					
	Scenario	o Section		Business Priority Section			Possible Options	
Failure Threat/Failure Impact/End Result Earliest			Bu	siness Pri	ority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency	
Source	Scenario		Failure	Impact	Risk	Priority		Options
			Date	Rating	Rating	Rating		
Loan Consolidation	ED system will not support inquiries to	ED would be unable to determine eligibility of	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	None	In the event that ED is unable to contact the IRS to obtain a
Sub-System	Internal Revenue	a student to receive an						waiver for the purpose of
	Service.	income contingent						granting Income Contingent
		repayment loan						Repayment, ED would
								continue, assigning a standard
								repayment plan.

Direct Loan Consolidation

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service: Time from loan application to payoff of outstanding balances 60-90 days

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service: Time from loan application to payoff of outstanding balances 60-90 days.											
Decomposition	of Sub-Process:		. Loan Consolidation System (LCS) generates and sends blank verification forms to the various lenders or to federal direct loan servicing								
Business Sub I	Process:	Direct Loan Conso	olidation								
	Scenari	o Section		Business Priority Section			Possible Options				
Failure Source	*			Business Priority Impact Risk Priority		Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options				
Loan consolidation system	The loan consolidation system is unable to generate verification forms to send to lenders or, the Direct Loan Servicing system will not accept an electronic verification inquiry.	Verification forms cannot be produced. Lender inquiries cannot be made in the usual manner. ED would be unable to determine the outstanding DL amounts or the applicable interest rate.	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	To mitigate the risk that the loan consolidation system would be unable to create and send verification inquiries ED would create and maintain a redundant verification process.	In the event that ED is unable to create and send verification inquiries to loan holders ED would would research NSLDS system for Direct Loan payoff data and would request payoff verification data directly from Direct Loan Servicing System. Alterantively, ED would contacting loan holders directly or rely on the applicant's most recent billing statements			

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service: Time from loan application to payoff of outstanding balances 60-90 days.

Decomposi	will be generated by ED, with						Pirect Consolidation Loan, checks f sury, and sent to the various lende		
Business S	Business Sub Process: Direct Loan Consolidation								
	Scenario Section			Busine	ss Priorit	y Section	Possible Options		
Failure Source	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Business Priority Impact Risk Priority Rating Rating Rating		Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency Options		
Loan Cons. System, or US Treasury systems	The ED system is unable to generate payoff checks or cannot support electronic crediting of student DL account	Loan balances cannot be paid off in a timely manner. Students are adversely impacted if interest continues to accrue.	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	None	In the event that ED is unable to generate payoff checks or electronic credits to a student's DL account, ED would store data for processing later when system functionality returns and hold student harmless for additional interest incurred. ED would prepare checks manually and would mail checks to lenders.	

Minimum Acceptable Level of Service: Time from loan application to payoff of outstanding balances 60-90 days.

Decompo	Decomposition of Sub-Process: 6. New loan record is passed to the Central Data System for forwarding to Direct Loan Servicing.								
Business	Sub Process:	Direct Loan Conso	olidation						
	Scenario Section					y Section	Possible 0	Options	
Failure	Failure Threat/Failure Scenario Impact/End Result Earliest			Bu	siness Pri	ority	Risk Mitigation Options	High-Level Contingency	
Source			Failure	Impact	Risk	Priority		Options	
			Date	Rating	Rating	Rating			
Loan Cons. System, Central Data System	Consolidation Loan cannot be transferred through CDS to DLSS	The Direct Consolidation Loan cannot be properly booked and information necessary for proper servicing of the loan cannot be passed on to DLSS	1/3/2000	High	Low	Med	To mitigate the risk that a new loan cannot be passed on to the loan servicing system ED would fully renovate the LCS and CDS systems and test for Y2K compliance.	In the event that ED cannot pass a loan record through CDS to Direct Loan Servicing, ED would bypass CDS and transfer information directly to DLSS on magnetic disk.	